

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/689,067		10/12/2000	Kenneth A. Berger	014600/0002	8168
20594	7590	02/05/2004		EXAM	INER
CHRISTO	PHER J.	ROURK	NGUYEN, TAN D		
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 688 DALLAS, TX 75313-0688				3629	THE ENTOMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/689,067	BERGER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
•	Tan Dean D. Nguyen	3629 LW					
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	December 2002.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on to be is/are: a) The Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language preference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicate riority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not receive estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(first sentence of the specification of the provisional application has been resestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	tion No red in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. D and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims <u>19</u>-21, <u>10</u>-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In order for the claimed invention to be statutory subject matter, the claimed invention must fall within one of the statutory classes of invention as set forth in § 101 (i.e. a process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter).

In the present case, claims <u>19</u>-21, 10-18 are directed to "A method for performing enterprise resource management (ERP)", which is not within one of the classes of invention set forth in § 101.

The "method for performing ERP" comprising the steps of (a) receiving field data, (b) initializing and executing one or more helpers, and (c) storing the field data using one or more helpers, as shown are merely an abstract idea and do not produce a useful, tangible, concrete results.

The "method for performing ERP" comprising the steps of (a)-(c) as shown are merely an <u>abstract idea</u> and does not reduce to a <u>practical application</u> in the <u>technological arts</u> (computer/computer automation) and are therefore are found to be non-statutory. See *In re Alappat*, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557, or *In re Waldbaum*, 173 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1972) or *In re Musgrave*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172.

· Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims <u>1</u>–9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A helper system includes one or more helpers that can perform predetermined data processing functions is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 19-21, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DU et al (US 6,308,163).

As for claims 19-20, 10-11, DU et al disclose a method for performing enterprise resource management (ERP) comprising: (a) receiving field data, (b) initializing and

Art Unit: 3629

executing one or more helpers, and (c) storing the field data using one or more helpers (Figs. 2, 6, 7, col. 4, line 35-55, col. 10, line 45 to col. 11, line 35). The use of any other enterprise field data or business task would have been obvious in view of the teachings on cols. 1-2.

As for claim 21, this is shown on Fig. 7 or col. 10-11. As for claims 13-14, these are taught on col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 30. As for claims 15-16, this is taught in Fig. 7. As for claims 17-18, DU et al discloses other areas of business tasks such as financial issues such as payment, payroll, etc., therefore, dealing with other financial issues such as generating general ledger, financial numbers, etc., would have been obvious (see col. 3 line 65 to col. 4, line 15).

7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ARTICLE 1998 ("A Flexible architecturesoftware maintenance).

As for claim 1, ARTICLE 1998 discloses a system comprising the elements as shown in claim 1 (see abstract). The use of any other enterprise field data or business task would have been obvious in view of the teachings on the abstract.

As for claims 2-9, these are inherently included in the general teachings of ARTICLE 1998.

8. Claims <u>1</u>-9 are rejected (2nd) under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over KLATT et al (US 6,415,277).

As for claim 1, KLATT et al discloses a system comprising the elements as shown in claim 1 (see Figs. 2,12, 13, col. 4, lines 1-15, col. 6, lines 12-17, col. 9, lines

. Art Unit: 3629

10-20). The use of any other enterprise field data or business task would have been obvious in view of the teachings on col. 5, lines 5-12, col. 6, lines 12-17.

As for claims 2-3, 7, these are shown on Fig. 12. As for claim 4, this is shown on col. 7, lines 32-42, 45-60. As for claims 5-6, these are shown on Fig. 13. As for claim 8, this is shown in col. 6, lines 12-22, 60-67. As for claim 9, this is shown on col. 1, lines 3045, col. 2, lines 35-42, col. 4, lines 5-15.

- Art Unit: 3629

9. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiner. As the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining Tech Center, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiner. See MPEP 203.08. The Tech Center clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1113.

In receiving an Office Action, it becomes apparent that certain documents are missing, e. g. copies of references, Forms PTO 1449, PTO-892, etc., requests for copies should be directed to Tech Center 3600 Customer Service at (703) 306-5771, or e-mail CustomerService3600@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application should be directed to <u>Dean Tan Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-2053</u>. My work schedule is normally Monday through Friday from 7:00 am through 4:30 pm.

Should I be unavailable during my normal working hours, my supervisor John Weiss may be reached at (703) 308-2702. The <u>FAX phone</u> numbers for formal communications concerning this application are <u>(703) 872-9306</u>. Informal communications may be made, following a telephone call to the examiner, by an informal FAX number to be given.

Other possibly helpful telephone numbers are:

Allowed Files & Publication (703) 305-8322 Assignment Branch (703) 308-9287 Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309 (703) 305-8404/ 8335 Drawing Corrections/Draftsman Fee Questions (703) 305-5125 Intellectual Property Questions (703) 305-8217 Petitions/Special Programs (703) 305-9282 (703) 305-8408 **Terminal Disclaimers** Information Help Line 1-800-786-9199

dtn January 26, 2004

DEANT. NOUYEN
PRIMARY EKAMINER